

# INTRODUCTION TO HECKE ALGEBRAS

ELAD ZELINGHER

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , uniformizer  $\varpi \in \mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $G$  be a split connected algebraic reductive group over  $F$ , with split maximal torus  $A$  and Borel subgroup  $B = AN$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = G(\mathfrak{o})$  be a hyperspecial maximal open compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $G$ , that is, the normalizer of  $A$  in  $G$  modulo  $A$ .

Let  $X_*(A)$  denote the cocharacter group

$$X_*(A) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, A) = \{ \mu^\vee : F^\times \rightarrow A \mid \mu^\vee \text{ algebraic character} \}.$$

For an element  $\mu^\vee \in X_*(A)$  we denote  $\varpi^{\mu^\vee} := \mu^\vee(\varpi)$ . The map  $\mu \mapsto \varpi^{\mu^\vee}$  defines an isomorphism

$$X_*(A) \rightarrow A(\varpi) := A/A(\mathfrak{o}),$$

where  $A(\mathfrak{o}) = A \cap \mathcal{K}$ .

**Example 1.** When  $G = \text{GL}_n$ ,

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times \right\}$$

and

$$X_*(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

by the isomorphism sending

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni (k_1, \dots, k_n) \mapsto \mu_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^\vee(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{k_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x^{k_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case,

$$A(\varpi) = A/A(\mathfrak{o}) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{k_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varpi^{k_n} \end{pmatrix} \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where

$$A(\mathfrak{o}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \right\}.$$

It is clear that the map  $X_*(A) \rightarrow A(\varpi)$  given by  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \mapsto \mu_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^\vee(\varpi)$  is an isomorphism.

For any compact open subgroup  $K \subset G$  let

$$\mathcal{H}(G // K) = \{f \in C_c^\infty(G) \mid f(kgk') = f(g), \forall g \in G, k, k' \in K\}.$$

A basis for  $\mathcal{H}(G // K)$  is given by the characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{KgK}\}_g$  where  $g$  runs over all the representatives of cosets of  $K \backslash G / K$ .

The space  $\mathcal{H}(G // K)$  is an algebra with convolution as multiplication:

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \int_G f_1(x^{-1}) f_2(xg) dx.$$

## 1. SPHERICAL HECKE ALGEBRA

Recall the Cartan decomposition: we have that

$$G = \bigcup_{\substack{\mu^\vee \\ \mu^\vee \text{ is dominant}}} \mathcal{K} \cdot \varpi^{\mu^\vee} \cdot \mathcal{K}.$$

It follows from applying Gelfand's trick to an involution based on the Chevalley data, that  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{K})$  is commutative (the involution should act trivially on  $\varpi^{\mu^\vee}$  for any  $\mu^\vee$  and send  $\mathcal{K}$  to itself).

**Example 2.** If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , then  $\mu_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^\vee$  is dominant if and only if  $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$ . The involution in this case is  $x \mapsto {}^t x$ . In this case, under the isomorphism above, every dominant cocharacter can be written as a product of the form

$$a_1^{i_1} \cdot a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n^{i_n},$$

where  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,

$$a_j = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi I_j & \\ & I_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{K})$  can be realized as compactly supported  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on  $A(\varpi)$ , that are invariant under the action of the Weyl group  $W$ .

If  $K \subset \mathcal{K}$  is an arbitrary compact open subgroup, then  $\mathcal{H}(G // K)$  is not commutative when  $K \neq \mathcal{K}$ . However, we have the following relation. If  $\mu_1^\vee$  and  $\mu_2^\vee$  are dominant cocharacters then

$$\chi_{K \cdot \varpi^{\mu_1^\vee} \cdot K} * \chi_{K \cdot \varpi^{\mu_2^\vee} \cdot K} = \chi_{K \cdot \varpi^{\mu_1^\vee} \varpi^{\mu_2^\vee} \cdot K} = \chi_{K \cdot \varpi^{\mu_1^\vee \mu_2^\vee} \cdot K}.$$

Let  $R_K^+$  be the algebra generated by the elements  $\chi_{K \cdot \varpi^{\mu^\vee} \cdot K}$ , where  $\mu^\vee$  goes over all the dominant cocharacters. Then  $R_K^+$  is a commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}(G // K)$ . Using the Cartan decomposition, we may decompose

$$\mathcal{H}(G // K) = \mathcal{H}(K // K) * R_K^+ * \mathcal{H}(K // K).$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}(K // K)$  is a finite-dimensional algebra, consisting of functions  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  bi-invariant under  $K$ , and  $R_K^+$  is abelian. This shows that  $\mathcal{H}(K // K)$  breaks into two pieces: a small (finite-dimensional) non-commutative one, and a large (infinite-dimensional) abelian one.

*Remark 3.* An important feature that we will not discuss is the Jacquet functor. Suppose that  $P = MU \subset G$  is a proper parabolic subgroup with Levi part  $M$ , and  $U^-$  is the radical opposite to  $U$ . Suppose that we have a Iwahori-type decomposition[1, Lemma 3.11]

$$K = (K \cap U^-) (K \cap M) (K \cap U).$$

(for instance, this holds for  $K = \mathcal{K}$  and  $K = \mathcal{I}$  from the next section). Let  $\pi$  be an admissible representation of  $G$ . Let

$$J_U(\pi) = \pi / \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \pi(u)v - v \mid v \in \pi, u \in U \}.$$

Then the quotient map

$$\pi \rightarrow J_U(\pi)$$

defines a surjection for the subspaces of  $K$ -fixed vectors:

$$\pi^K \rightarrow J_U(\pi)^{K \cap M}.$$

This can be used to show that certain representations can be embedded as subrepresentations of principal series representations. See for example [4, Section 12].

## 2. IWAHORI–MATSUMOTO HECKE ALGEBRA

Consider the quotient map

$$\nu: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

The inverse image of  $B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  under this map is called the *Iwahori subgroup* of  $G(F)$ . We denote it by  $\mathcal{I}$ . Assume henceforth that the Haar measure is normalized so that  $\mathcal{I}$  has measure 1.

**Example 4.** If  $G = \text{GL}_n$  then

$$\mathcal{I} = \nu^{-1}(B(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \cdots & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{W} = W \rtimes A(\varpi)$  be the extended affine Weyl group. We have the following Bruhat–Iwahori decomposition:

$$G = \bigsqcup_{x \in \tilde{W}} \mathcal{I} \cdot x \cdot \mathcal{I}.$$

It follows that  $\{ \chi_{\mathcal{I} \cdot x \cdot \mathcal{I}} \}_{x \in \tilde{W}}$  forms a basis for  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$ .

The group  $\tilde{W}$  is almost a Coxeter group. It has a well-known standard presentation. In general,

$$\tilde{W} = W_{\text{aff}} \rtimes \pi_1(G),$$

where  $\pi_1(G)$  is the fundamental group of  $G$  and  $W_{\text{aff}}$  is the affine Weyl group, generated by all affine reflections.  $W_{\text{aff}}$  has a standard presentation as a Coxeter group.

**Example 5.** When  $G = \text{GL}_n$ , we have that  $W_{\text{aff}}$  is generated by  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  satisfying the relations

- (1)  $s_j^2 = 1$ .
- (2)  $s_j s_k = s_k s_j$  if  $j - k \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ .
- (3)  $s_j s_{j+1} s_j = s_{j+1} s_j s_{j+1}$ , where  $j + 1$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

We have that  $\pi_1(\mathrm{GL}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and that if  $h \in \pi_1(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  is a generator, then for any  $j$

$$hs_jh^{-1} = s_{j+1},$$

where  $j+1$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

We may choose the following matrices to represent these elements: for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , choose for  $s_j$  the permutation matrix that swaps the columns at positions  $j$  and  $j+1$ . Choose

$$s_n = \begin{pmatrix} & & \varpi^{-1} \\ & I_{n-2} & \\ \varpi & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} & & \varpi^{-1} \\ 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a notion of the length of an element in  $\tilde{W}$ : since  $W_{\mathrm{aff}}$  is a Coxeter group, it comes with a length function  $\ell: W_{\mathrm{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and we denote by  $\ell: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W_{\mathrm{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the length function of  $\tilde{W}$ .

**Example 6.** If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  then any element in  $\tilde{W}$  can be written in the form

$$w = h^m \cdot s_{i_1} \cdot s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r},$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\ell(w)$  the minimal  $r$  such that  $w$  can be written in such form. Such presentation of  $w$  is called a *reduced expression*.

The elements of  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  corresponding to the generators of  $\tilde{W}$  generate  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  as an algebra. They satisfy the corresponding Hecke algebra relations.

**Example 7.** If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , let us define for any  $w \in \tilde{W}$

$$f_w = \chi_{\mathcal{I} \cdot w \cdot \mathcal{I}}.$$

Then we have the following relations:

(1)  $f_{s_j} * f_{s_j} = (q-1)f_{s_j} + q$ . This can also be written as

$$(f_{s_j} - q) * (f_{s_j} + 1) = 0.$$

(2)  $f_{s_j} * f_{s_k} = f_{s_k} * f_{s_j}$  if  $j - k \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ .

(3)  $f_{s_j} * f_{s_{j+1}} * f_{s_j} = f_{s_{j+1}} * f_{s_j} * f_{s_{j+1}}$ , where  $j+1$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

(4)  $f_{h^{-1}} = f_h^{-1}$ .

(5)  $f_h * f_{s_j} * f_h^{-1} = f_{s_{j+1}}$ , where  $j+1$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

*Remark 8.* We may attach to  $W_{\mathrm{aff}}$  an Iwahori–Matsumoto Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_v(W_{\mathrm{aff}})$ . It is an algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ . The Iwahori–Matsumoto Hecke algebra is generated by the generators  $T_s$  for any generator  $s$  of  $W_{\mathrm{aff}}$  and is subject to their relations, where we modify the quadratic relations  $s^2 = 1$  to be

$$(T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) = 0,$$

for every quadratic generator  $s$  of  $W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ . Then Iwahori and Matsumoto proved that if  $G$  is semisimple then  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}(W_{\mathrm{aff}})$ . More generally, we have that

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K} // \mathcal{I}) \cong \mathcal{H}_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}(W_{\mathrm{aff}})$$

and that

$$\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I}) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K} // \mathcal{I}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]} R_{\mathcal{I}},$$

by the isomorphism sending

$$\chi_{\mathcal{I} \cdot w \cdot \mathcal{I}} \otimes f \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{I} \cdot w \cdot \mathcal{I}} * f.$$

See the definition of  $R_{\mathcal{I}}$  below.

**2.1. Bernstein–Zelevinsky relation.** Denote for  $w \in \tilde{W}$ ,

$$f_w = \chi_{\mathcal{I} \cdot w \cdot \mathcal{I}}.$$

Recall that  $R_{\mathcal{I}}$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  generated by  $f_{\varpi^{\mu^\vee}}$  for every dominant cocharacter  $\mu^\vee$ . If  $\mu_1^\vee$  and  $\mu_2^\vee$  are dominant cocharacters then we have that

$$\ell\left(\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee \mu_2^\vee}\right) = \ell\left(\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee} \varpi^{\mu_2^\vee}\right) = \ell\left(\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee}\right) + \ell\left(\varpi^{\mu_2^\vee}\right),$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad f_{\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee}} * f_{\varpi^{\mu_2^\vee}} = f_{\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee} \varpi^{\mu_2^\vee}} = f_{\varpi^{\mu_1^\vee \mu_2^\vee}}.$$

In particular  $R_{\mathcal{I}}^+$  is commutative. It can be shown from the relations of the generators of the Hecke algebra that  $f_w$  is invertible for any  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . Let  $R_{\mathcal{I}}$  be the algebra generated by  $f_{\varpi^{\mu^\vee}}$  and  $f_{\varpi^{\mu^\vee}}^{-1}$  for every dominant cocharacter  $\mu^\vee$ . It seems tempting to define a map

$$A(\varpi) \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{I}}^\times$$

by the formula

$$\varpi^{\mu^\vee} \mapsto f_{\varpi^{\mu^\vee}}.$$

However, this map will not be a group homomorphism  $A(\varpi) \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{I}}^\times$ . This can be fixed as follows. First let us define a normalization of  $f_w$ :

$$T_w = q^{-\frac{\ell(w)}{2}} f_w.$$

If  $\lambda^\vee$  is a cocharacter, we can write  $\lambda^\vee = \mu_1^\vee \cdot (\mu_2^\vee)^{-1}$  where  $\mu_1^\vee$  and  $\mu_2^\vee$  are dominant cocharacters. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: A(\varpi) &\rightarrow R_{\mathcal{I}}^\times, \\ \theta(\lambda^\vee) &= T_{\mu_1^\vee} * (T_{\mu_2^\vee})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined because of (2.1). This map is now a homomorphism.

**Example 9.** If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  then if we denote

$$b_j = \begin{pmatrix} I_{j-1} & & \\ & \varpi & \\ & & I_{n-j} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$b_j = a_j \cdot a_{j-1}^{-1},$$

and

$$\theta(b_j) = T_{a_j} * (T_{a_{j-1}})^{-1}.$$

One now needs to express  $a_{j-1}$  as a product of  $h^{-j}$  and of the generators  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  in order to be able to write an expression for  $(T_{a_{j-1}})^{-1}$  as a product of the inverses of the

corresponding generators. In turn, these inverses are computed using the quadratic relations of the Hecke algebra.

**Theorem 10** (Bernstein–Zelevinsky presentation). *Let  $s$  be any one of the generators of  $W_{\text{aff}}$  (as a Coxeter group). For any cocharacter  $\lambda^\vee$  we have that  $\theta(\lambda^\vee) - \theta(s(\lambda^\vee))$  is divisible by  $1 - \theta(\alpha^\vee)^{-1}$  in the ring  $R_{\mathcal{I}}$  and the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\lambda^\vee) T_s - T_s \theta(s(\lambda^\vee)) &= T_s \theta(\lambda^\vee) - \theta(s(\lambda^\vee)) T_s \\ &= \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{\theta(\lambda^\vee) - \theta(s(\lambda^\vee))}{1 - \theta(\alpha^\vee)^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha^\vee$  is a certain fundamental cocharacter on which  $s$  acts by  $s(\alpha^\vee) = (\alpha^\vee)^{-1}$ .

**Example 11.** When  $G = \text{GL}_n$ , recall that  $\lambda^\vee$  is parameterized by  $\lambda^\vee = \mu_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^\vee$  where  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have that  $W = \langle s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \cong S_n$  acts on  $\lambda^\vee$  by permuting the coordinates  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In this case,  $s = s_k$  for some  $k$  and

$$\alpha^\vee = \alpha_k^\vee = (0, 0, \dots, 0, -1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is the cocharacter corresponding to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & \\ & \varpi^{-1} & & \\ & & \varpi & \\ & & & I_{n-k-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $\theta(\alpha^\vee) = T_{a_{k-1}} * T_{a_{k+1}} * T_{a_k}^{-2}$ .

**2.2. Center of  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$ .** From the Bernstein–Zelevinsky relation, the following description of the center of  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  can be concluded.

**Theorem 12.** *The center of  $\mathcal{H}(G // \mathcal{I})$  is the subspace of  $R_{\mathcal{I}}^+$  consisting of elements of the form*

$$\sum_{\mu^\vee} a_{\mu^\vee} \theta(\mu^\vee)$$

such that  $a_{w\mu^\vee} = a_{\mu^\vee}$  for every  $w \in W$  and cocharacter  $\mu^\vee$ . In particular, this center is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[A(\varpi)]^W$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Representations of the group  $GL(n, F)$ , where  $F$  is a local non-Archimedean field. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 31(3(189)):5–70, 1976. 2
- [2] Daniel Bump. Hecke Algebras. Available at <http://sporadic.stanford.edu/bump/math263/hecke.pdf>.
- [3] Thomas J. Haines, Robert E. Kottwitz, and Amritanshu Prasad. Iwahori-Hecke algebras. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 25(2):113–145, 2010.
- [4] Roger Howe. Hecke algebras and  $p$ -adic  $\text{GL}_n$ . In *Representation theory and analysis on homogeneous spaces (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993)*, volume 177 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 65–100. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. 3
- [5] Roger Howe. Affine-like Hecke algebras and  $p$ -adic representation theory. In *Iwahori-Hecke algebras and their representation theory (Martina-Franca, 1999)*, volume 1804 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 27–69. Springer, Berlin, 2002.